

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Boz 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,030	03/01/2002	Galen M. Martin	17812 (MHM 13509US01) 6482	
75	90 05/02/2003			
Tyco Electronics Corporation Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road Wilmington, DE 19808-2952		EXAMINER		
			LEON, EDWIN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833 DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	•••	10/087,030	MARTIN ET AL.	/
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edwin A. León	2833	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ars on th cov r sh et with th c	orrespondence address	
- External forms of the control of t	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.	
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u>			
2a)□		s action is non-final.		
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Elion of Claims	nce except for formal matters, pro Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.	
· _	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-10,12,13,15-19 and 21-23</u> is/a	re nending in the application		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	in from consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-10,12,13,15-19 and 21-23</u> is/ar	e rejected		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	o rojootou.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
	on Papers	over a sequinomonic.		
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	•		
10)[] 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Exam	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on i	s: a) 🗌 approved b) 🔲 disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply			
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	miner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign բ	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	nave been received in Application	n No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· ·		
a)	The translation of the foreign language provicknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	sional application has been recei	ved.	
Attachment(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.		n Summary	Part of Paper No. 11	

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment and Request for Continued Examination filed March 4, 2003 and March 31, 2003 in which Claims 1, 9, and 17 have been amended and Claims 6, 14 and 20 have been cancelled, has been place of record in the file as Papers No. 8 and 10, respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 12-13, 15-19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Green et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,348,488) in view of Okada (U.S. Patent No. 5,252,096). With regard to Claims 1, 5 and 7, Green et al. discloses an electrical connector (10) of a type which is connectable to a substrate (150), comprising: a housing (12); a plurality of electrical contacts (40) carried by the housing (12), each contact (40) having contact interface (44) interconnectable with a reciprocal contact interface (156) carried by the substrate (150); a contact guide (50) having a plurality of

Art Unit: 2833

apertures (56) positioned to align and mate with the contact interfaces (44) of the contacts (40); and the housing (12) including locking post (80) configured to mate with reciprocal aperture (58,59,70) formed in both the contact guide (50) and the substrate (150) for securing the contact guide (50) and the substrate (150) to the housing (12), the locking post (80) having a base portion (30) that is secured within the reciprocal apertures (58,59,70) in the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

However, Green et al. doesn't show the locking post being a bifurcated post having a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate, and having first and second opposed legs of different lengths which are compressible towards one another for insertion into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate, at least one of the opposed legs including a locking feature configured to lockingly engage with the substrate when the opposed legs are inserted into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate.

Okada discloses the concept of having a bifurcated post (18,19) having a locking feature (22,23) on a distal end thereof, the locking feature (22,23) being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture (14) in the substrate (12), and having first and second opposed legs (18,19) of different lengths which are compressible towards one another for insertion into the reciprocal aperture (14) in the substrate (12), at least one of the opposed legs (18,19) including a locking feature (22,23) configured to lockingly engage with the substrate (12) when the opposed legs (18,19) are inserted into the reciprocal aperture (14) in the substrate (12). See Figs. 2, 4 and 6.

Art Unit: 2833

Thus, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Green et al. by making the locking post a bifurcated post having a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate, and having first and second opposed legs of different lengths which are compressible towards one another for insertion into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate, at least one of the opposed legs including a locking feature configured to lockingly engage with the substrate when the opposed legs are inserted into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate as taught in Okada in order to mount, couple and lock the connector to the substrate more efficiently and more firm.

With regard to Claim 3, Green et al. discloses the locking post (80) being sized and shaped to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (58,59,70) in the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 4, Green et al. discloses the base portion (30) of the post (80) includes an enlarged diameter portion (30) sized to from an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (58,59,70) formed in the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 8, Green et al. discloses the contact interfaces (44) comprising male pin connectors. See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claims 9, 13 and 15, Green et al. discloses an electrical connector (10), comprising: a housing (12) having a substrate (150) end matable with a substrate (150) and a connector end (18) matable with a second electrical connector (100); a plurality of electrical contacts (40) carried by the housing (12), each contact (40) having

a first contact interface (22) positioned in the substrate (150) end of the housing (12) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface (156) carried by the substrate (150) and a second contact interface (48) positioned in the connector end (18) of the housing (12) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface (102) carried by the second electrical connector (100); a contact guide (50) configured to mate with the substrate (150) end of the housing (12), the contact guide (50) including a plurality of apertures (56) positioned to matingly align with the first contact interfaces (44); and a locking post (80) having a base portion (30) for securing the housing (12) to the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

However, Green et al. doesn't show the locking post having a distal end snapably securing the housing to the substrate, with first and second opposed legs of different lengths being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate.

Okada discloses the concept of having a locking mechanism (20) having the locking post (18,19) having a distal end (22,23) snapably securing the housing (10) to the substrate (12), with first and second opposed legs (18,19) of different lengths being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism (20) to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture (14) in the substrate (12). See Figs. 2, 4 and 6.

Thus, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Green et al. by having a distal end snapably securing the housing to the substrate, with first and second opposed legs of different lengths being compressible towards one another to allow the locking

Art Unit: 2833

mechanism to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate as taught in Okada in order to mount, couple and lock the connector to the substrate more efficiently and more firm.

With regard to Claim 10, Green et al. discloses the first contact interface (22) being oriented perpendicular to the second contact interface (48). See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 12, Green et al. discloses the post (80) has an enlarged portion (30) sized to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (58,59,70) in the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 16, Green et al. discloses the first contact interfaces (44) comprising male pin connectors. See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 17, 19 and 21, Green et al. discloses an electrical connector (10), comprising: a housing (12) having a substrate (150) end matable with the substrate (150) and a connector end (18) matable with a second electrical connector (100); a plurality of electrical contacts (40) carried by the housing (12), each contact (40) having a first contact interface (22) positioned in the substrate end of the housing (12) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface (156) carried by the substrate (150) and a second contact interface (48) positioned in the connector end (18) of the housing (12) for interconnection with a reciprocal contact interface (102) carried by the second electrical connector (100); a contact guide (50) configured to mate with the substrate end of the housing (12), the contact guide (50) including a plurality of apertures (56) positioned to matingly align with the first contact interfaces (44); and first and second posts (18) extending from the housing (12), each of the posts (18) having a

Art Unit: 2833

base portion (30) configured to mate with a reciprocal aperture (58,59,70) formed on the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

However, Green et al. doesn't show the locking posts having a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate, and first and second opposed legs of different lengths having a locking feature and being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate.

Okada discloses the concept of having a locking mechanism (20) with locking posts (18,19) having a locking feature (22,23) on a distal end thereof, the locking feature (22,23) being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture (14) in the substrate (12), and first and second opposed legs (18,19) of different lengths having a locking feature (22,23) and being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism (20) to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture (14) in the substrate (12). See Figs. 2, 4 and 6.

Thus, it would have been obvious of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Green et al. by including a locking feature on a distal end thereof, the locking feature being snapably secured within the reciprocal aperture in the substrate, and first and second opposed legs of different lengths having a locking feature and being compressible towards one another to allow the locking mechanism to be inserted into the reciprocal aperture in the substrate as taught in Okada in order to mount, couple and lock the connector to the substrate more efficiently and more firm.

Art Unit: 2833

With regard to Claim 18, Green et al. discloses the post (80) has an enlarged portion (30) sized to form an interference fit with the reciprocal aperture (58,59,70) in the contact guide (50). See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 22, Green et al. discloses the first and second contact interfaces (44) comprising male pin connectors. See Figs. 1-8.

With regard to Claim 23, Green et al. discloses the first contact interface (22) being oriented perpendicular to the second contact interface (48). See Figs. 1-8.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5, 7-10, 12-13, 15-19 and 21-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edwin A. León whose telephone number is (703) 308-6253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on (703) 308-2319. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2833

308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Wa 4. 2

Edwin A. Leon AU 2833

EAL April 29, 2003

P. AUSTIN BRADLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 9